arXiv:hep-th/9306064v1 14 Jun 1993

Chiral phase dependence of fermion partition function in two dimension

Hisashi Kikuchi

Department of Physics
University of California, Riverside
Riverside, CA 92521

June, 1993, UCRHEP-T110

Abstract

The chiral phase dependence of fermion partition function in spherically symmetric U(1) gauge field background is analyzed in two dimensional space-time. A well-defined method to calculated the path integral which apply to the continuous fermion spectrum is described. The one-to-one correspondence between the nonzero energy continuous spectra of two pertinent hamiltonians, which are defined by the Dirac operator to make the path integral well-defined, is shown to be exact. The asymptotic expansion for the chiral phase dependence in $1/|m|^2$ (m is mass of the fermion.) is proposed and the coefficients in the expansion are evaluated up to the next-to-next leading term. Up to this order, the chiral phase dependence is given only by the winding number of background field and the corrections vanish.

1 Introduction

The quark partition function Z in given gluon background has nontrivial dependence on chiral phase α,

$$\alpha = \arg\ \det m, \tag{1}$$

of the mass matrix m [1, 2, 3]. The θ-term in QCD lagrangian comes from this α-dependence and leads us to strong CP problem [1, 2, 3, 4].

In the previous work [5], we have evaluated the α-dependence, $d\ln Z/d\alpha$, by calculating functional Jacobian in fermionic path integral [6, 7]. The path integral is calculated by solving eigenstates and eigenvalues of two pertinent hamiltonians, H_+ and H_-, which is constructed from the Dirac operator of the model. Applying a box quantization to each eigenstates, we discretised the spectrum and have evaluated the Jacobian in a manifest fashion. An important thing which was took for granted in the previous calculation was the existence of the one-to-one correspondence between nonzero eigenstates for $H_\pm$: the spectrum of H_+ is the same as that of H_- except for the zero modes. Under the existence of the correspondence, the contribution to $d\ln Z/d\alpha$ from the nonzero modes cancels and only zero mode contribution remains. As a result we get only the θ-term and no other correction terms for $d\ln Z/d\alpha$.

In a certain background configuration, the box quantization is not necessarily possible. In this case, we need to start with the infinite size system and deal with spectrum with continuous portion from the beginning. The one-to-one correspondence then becomes vague. Indeed, there have been various intensive studies on this point, especially related to calculation of the index of Dirac operators [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. It has been pointed out that in some models the one-to-one correspondence is no longer hold [10, 11]: the spectral densities of $H_\pm$ for the continuous portion are different. If the one-to-one correspondence is violated, $d\ln Z/d\alpha$ has extra contribution from the states which belongs to the continuum.

The purpose of this paper is to develop the calculation of the α-dependence in a well-defined fashion which apply for the case that the spectrum contains continuous portion and to see if there is any correction in the α-dependence of the partition function. We will work in a model of a fermion coupled to U(1) gauge field A_μ in two dimension. As for chiral property, the fermion shares similarity with quarks in QCD: the axial U(1) anomaly is given by the winding number density of the background field. Thus we hope the technique developed in this paper also apply for QCD.

We specifically work for spherically-symmetric background field. This restriction enables us to analyze the problem in terms of the scattering theory for a particle in a centrifugal potential in two dimension. The spectrum is continuous and extends to zero energy. We consider the background as having any real winding number

$$\nu \equiv \frac{e}{2\pi}\int F_{12} d^2x, \quad F_{12} = \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1, \tag{2}$$

which prevents us from compactifying the space-time and from discretising the spectrum. Depending on whether the winding number is zero or not, the potential possesses $1/r^2$-tail at spatial infinity. This fact causes non-analyticity of the scattering waves at zero energy and will turn out essential for nontrivial $d\ln Z/d\alpha$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the calculation for $d\ln Z/d\alpha$ for discrete spectrum and rewrite it in terms of spectral density. This form of $d\ln Z/\alpha$ enables us to calculate it for the continuous spectrum. In section 3, we describe the definitions of spectral densities and write $d\ln Z/d\alpha$ in two terms, a contribution from nonzero energy continuous spectrum and those from zero energy states. We use contour integral to regularize the zero energy contribution. In section 4, we define Jost functions in two dimensional scattering problem and write the spectral density in terms of them. In section 5, we show the one-to-one correspondence for the nonzero energy states is exact. In section 6, we will evaluate the zero energy contributions. We adopt the asymptotic expansion of $d\ln Z/d\alpha$ in the inverse of squared mass parameter $1/|m|^2$ and calculate the coefficients up to the second order term. Section 7 is devoted for summary and discussion.

2 The α-dependence of Z

We take a fermion ψ in two dimension with a complex mass m and coupling to external U(1) gauge field A_μ. The lagrangian in Euclidean metric is of the form

$$L = (-i)\bar{\psi}\left[(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\sigma_\mu - m\left(\frac{1+\sigma_c}{2}\right) - m^*\left(\frac{1-\sigma_c}{2}\right)\right]\psi, \tag{3}$$

where e is the coupling constant, σ_μ $(\mu = 1, 2)$ are the first and second components of Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \tag{4}$$

the third component is used to define chirality,

$$\sigma_c \equiv \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

The chiral phase α is simply related to m as

$$m = |m|e^{i\alpha}. \tag{6}$$

We intend to examine the α-dependence of the partition function

$$Z \equiv \int [d\psi][d\bar{\psi}]e^{-\int d^2xL}. \tag{7}$$

Specifically we evaluate the derivative $d\ln Z/d\alpha$. By definition, it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\ln Z}{d\alpha} &= \frac{1}{Z}\int [d\psi][d\bar{\psi}]\int d^2x\left(-\frac{dL}{d\alpha}\right)e^{-\int d^2xL} \\ &= \int d^2x\left\langle \bar{\psi}\left[m\left(\frac{1+\sigma_c}{2}\right) - m^*\left(\frac{1-\sigma_c}{2}\right)\right]\psi\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\langle O \rangle$ denotes the expectation value of operator O in a given gauge field background.

The evaluation of Z and $d \ln Z/d\alpha$ in terms of fermionic eigenstates in gauge field background has been given by discretising the spectrum with box quantization [5]. Let us briefly review the results. The Dirac operator $\mathcal{L}$ has an explicit form

$$\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} -im & D \\ D^\dagger & -im^* \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D &\equiv i(\partial_1 - ieA_1) + (\partial_2 - ieA_2), & (10) \\ D^\dagger &\equiv i(\partial_1 - ieA_1) - (\partial_2 - ieA_2), & (11) \end{aligned}$$

for lagrangian (3). It is not hermitian for complex m and thus we cannot use eigen modes of $\mathcal{L}$ to define the functional space. Instead, we use eigenstates $\phi_+^{(n)}$ and $\phi_-^{(n)}$ of "hamiltonians"

$$\begin{aligned} H_+ &\equiv DD^\dagger = -\left(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu\right)^2 - eF_{12}, & (12) \\ H_- &\equiv D^\dagger D = -\left(\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu\right)^2 + eF_{12}, & (13) \end{aligned}$$

where n is integer and used to label different eigenstates. The eigenvalues $E_\pm^{(n)}$ are non-negative. The spectrum of $H_\pm$ coincide for nonzero eigenvalues. This can be seen by noticing the one-to-one correspondence between $\phi_+^{(n)}$ and $\phi_-^{(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_-^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{E^{(n)}}} D^\dagger \phi_+^{(n)}, & (14) \\ \phi_+^{(n)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{E^{(n)}}} D \phi_-^{(n)}, & (15) \end{aligned}$$

where $E^{(n)} \equiv E_+^{(n)} = E_-^{(n)}$.

Expanding the field ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ in terms of these eigenstates, diagonalising the action by an variable transformation for Grassmann path-integral variable, and taking into account the corresponding Jacobian, we get [5]

$$Z = \mathcal{J}|m|^{n_+ + n_-} \prod{}' \left(E^{(n)} + |m|^2\right), \tag{16}$$

where $n_\pm$ are the number of zero modes for $H_\pm$ and the prime on the product means the product must be taken over each pair of nonzero eigenvalue. $\mathcal{J}$ denotes the Jacobian in the path-integral measure and it carries the α-dependence as $e^{i\alpha(n_+ - n_-)}$. Further the expectation value in (8) is given by

$$\frac{d\ln Z}{d\alpha} = i\sum_n \left[\frac{|m|^2}{E_+^{(n)} + |m|^2} - \frac{|m|^2}{E_-^{(n)} + |m|^2}\right]. \tag{17}$$

Because of the one-to-one correspondence (14)–(15), the nonzero eigenvalues cancel out and (17) is consistent with the α-dependence of $\mathcal{J}$.

We extend these results to the case of continuous spectrum by generalizing them in terms of the spectral density, the number density of states per energy. For the discretised spectrum, it is obviously given by

$$\rho_\pm(E) = \sum_n \delta(E - E_\pm^{(n)}). \tag{18}$$

We rewrite (16) and (17) as

$$\ln Z = \ln\mathcal{J} + \int_0^\infty dE\, \frac{1}{2}\left[\rho_+(E) + \rho_-(E)\right] \ln(E + |m|^2) \tag{19}$$

and

$$\frac{d\ln Z}{d\alpha} = i\int_0^\infty dE \frac{|m|^2}{E + |m|^2}\left[\rho_+(E) - \rho_-(E)\right]. \tag{20}$$

We take (19) and (20) as definitions for Z and its α-dependence in terms of spectral density $\rho_\pm$; we develop the way to calculate $\rho_\pm$ that is applicable to the continuous spectrum.

We need to mention two problems about the definitions (19)–(20). First, we have to be careful in the E-integrals at $E = 0$ to get well-defined results when the continuous portion in the spectrum extends to zero energy. A more careful definition which prevent the problem will be given in the next section.

Secondly, the spectral density behaves as $\rho_\pm(E) \sim V/4\pi$ as $E \to \infty$ with the volume V of quantization box in two dimension. Thus the E-integral in $\ln Z$ has UV divergence. We can define once-subtracted spectral densities $\delta\rho_\pm(E)$ which is defined as the deviation of $\rho(E)$ from the spectral density $V/4\pi$ of the free hamiltonian. In $\ln Z$ we use $\delta\rho_\pm(E)$ instead of $\rho_\pm(E)$. The perturbative calculation for $\ln Z$, which measures its deviation from the case of free fermion, reveals that the correction to $\ln Z$ is finite [15]. Thus the one subtraction suffices to make $\rho_\pm(E)$ and $\ln Z$ finite. As for $d\ln Z/d\alpha$, the divergence cancels between ρ_+ and ρ_- and no ill-definedness takes place.

3 Spectral density

The problem is now to develop a decent method for evaluation of the spectral densities $\rho_\pm(E)$. The various methods have been intensively studied in connection with fermion number fractionization and with Witten index in supersymmetric quantum mechanics [11, 12, 13, 14]. A subtlety of the calculations related to the order of various integrations are emphasized for the Dirac operators whose continuous portion extend to zero energy [11]. Here, we adopt a different way of defining spectral densities. The advantage of our description is that it naturally leads us how to regularize the contribution from the zero energy states.

We start with heat kernel $K(\tau; x, y)$ for H. (We suppress the subscripts $_\pm$ until we need to distinguish $H_\pm$.) It is defined by the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + H\right) K(\tau; x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0 \tag{21}$$

and the initial condition

$$K(0; x, y) = \delta(x - y), \tag{22}$$

where $\delta(x - y)$ denotes the two dimensional Dirac δ-function. A set of (21) and (22) fixes $K(\tau; x, y)$ completely.

The resolvent $R(E;x,y)$ is then defined as the Laplace transform of the heat kernel $K(\tau;x,y)$ by

$$R(E;x,y) \equiv \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{E\tau} K(\tau;x,y). \tag{23}$$

Using the definitions (21)–(23), we see $R(E;x,y)$ satisfies

$$(H-E)\,R(E;x,y) = \delta(x-y) \tag{24}$$

as long as the integral in (23) converges. According to general properties of Laplace transformation, $R(E;x,y)$ for fixed x and y is a holomorphic function of complex E whose real part is less than some critical value E_c, ($\mathrm{Re}\, E < E_c$). In our case, the spectrum of H is nonnegative thus $E_c = 0$. We analytically continue $R(E;x,y)$ in a complex E-plane with a cut along the real positive E-axis.

Obviously, $K(\tau;x,y)$ is restored by the inverse Laplace transformation

$$K(\tau;x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_C dE e^{-E\tau} R(E;x,y), \tag{25}$$

where the integral contour C runs from $c - i\infty$ to $c + i\infty$, ($c < 0$).

We define a state density $\rho(E;x)$ of H, the number of states per unit energy and unit space volume, by the discontinuity of the resolvent $R(E;x,y)$ at the cut [16],

$$\rho(E;x) \equiv \lim_{y\to x}\lim_{\epsilon\to+0}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left[R(E+i\epsilon;x,y) - R(E-i\epsilon;x,y)\right]. \tag{26}$$

The order of two limitations in (26), ($\epsilon \to +0$) before ($y \to x$), cannot be altered. This circumvents ill-definedness which happens in the space with dimensionality larger than one. In appendix A, we give simple examples for free hamiltonians in various dimensions which show the above definition is consistent with our understandings to $\rho(E;x)$.

The spectral density $\rho(E)$ is then defined formally by

$$\rho(E) \equiv \int d^2x \rho(E;x), \tag{27}$$

and the once-subtracted spectral density by

$$\delta\rho(E) \equiv \int d^2x \left[\rho(E;x) - \frac{1}{4\pi}\right], \tag{28}$$

where $1/4\pi$ is the state density for the free hamiltonian. This subtraction make the E-integral in (19) finite.

Now let us turn into a regularization problem at $E = 0$. In the background we consider, the resolvents are singular there. There are two reasons for the singularity: the existence of normalizable zero modes $\phi^{(0)}$ adds pole-type singularity

$$R(E;x,y) \sim \frac{1}{E}\phi^{(0)}(x)\phi^{(0)\dagger}(y) \tag{29}$$

to the resolvents; since the continuous portion in the spectrum extends to zero energy, the resolvents may have other type of singularities as well. The definition (26) is not sufficient to take into account these singularities.

To answer this problem, let us recall the calculation of the anomaly, the anomalous term in Ward-Takahashi identity. According to Fujikawa, it is given by taking a functional trace of chirality σ_c using the heat kernel regularization [6, 7]. It corresponds to $\tau \to 0$ limit of

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau,x) \equiv \lim_{y\to x}\left[K_+(\tau;x,y) - K_-(\tau;x,y)\right]. \tag{30}$$

[The limit $(y \to x)$ should be taken before $(\tau \to +0)$, otherwise we get trivially zero as the result because of the initial condition (22).] This quantity $\lim_{\tau\to 0}\mathcal{A}(\tau,x)$ must be the difference between the state densities per unit spatial volume for H_+ and H_-: we use eigenstates of $H_\pm$ for the basis in the functional space and they have definite chirality $\pm$; thus the difference between the state densities generates nonzero Jacobian in the path integral measure under a chiral transformation $e^{i\theta(x)\sigma_c}$ [6, 7]. We use the Laplace transform (25) for the heat kernels in (30) and bend the integral contour C so that it pinches real positive E axis leaving a small circle C$'$ around the origin, to get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\tau,x) &= \lim_{y\to x}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'} dE e^{-E\tau}\left[R_+(E;x,y)-R_-(E;x,y)\right] \\ &\quad +\int_\epsilon^\infty dE e^{-E\tau}[\rho_+(E;x)-\rho_-(E;x)], \qquad (31)\end{aligned}$$

where C$'$ is a clockwise oriented with radius ϵ. (See Fig. 1.) The second term represents what it must represent: it is the difference between the state densities contributed from the nonzero energy states. The first term tells us how to regularize the contributions from zero energy states. If the singularity comes from normalizable zero modes, the contour integral over C$'$ properly gives the density by zero modes. The expression in (31), however, applies to wider class of singularities.

Incidentally, note that the calculation of the anomaly in term of $\mathcal{A}(\tau,x)$ is gauge invariant procedure: two different heat kernels for A_μ and its gauge transform $A'_\mu = A_\mu - \partial_\mu\Lambda/e$ are related by

$$K(\tau;x,y) = e^{i(\Lambda(x)-\Lambda(y))}K'(\tau;x,y); \qquad (32)$$

the difference disappears after we take the limit $(y\to x)$.

Applying the same reason to regularize the contributions from zero energy states, we finally adopt the definition[1]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\ln Z}{d\alpha} &= i\int d^2x \lim_{y\to x}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{C'} dE\frac{|m|^2}{E+|m|^2}\left[R_+(E;x,y)-R_-(E;x,y)\right]. \\ &\quad +i\int_\epsilon^\infty dE\frac{|m|^2}{E+|m|^2}\left[\rho_+(E)-\rho_-(E)\right]. \qquad (33)\end{aligned}$$

4 Jost function in two dimension

We now apply the method described for spectral density in the previous section to the case of spherically symmetric background field. The problem reduces to solving Shrödinger equations of two dimensional scattering. According to a well-established technique in three dimensional scattering [16], we define two types of solutions for the Schrödinger equations, regular solutions and Jost solutions, and define Jost function in terms of them. We can derive resolvents and spectral densities in terms of them. Especially we will see that spectral density is related to the Jost function.

---

[1] A similarly regulated expression for $\ln Z$ in terms of $\delta\rho_\pm(E)$ is easily given.

Let us define the polar coordinates r and θ by

$$x_1 = r\cos\theta, \quad x_2 = r\sin\theta. \tag{34}$$

We take radial gauge $A_r = 0$ and choose A_θ which depends only on r, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_r &= A_1\cos\theta + A_2\sin\theta, && (35)\\ A_\theta &= -A_1 r\sin\theta + A_2 r\cos\theta. && (36)\end{aligned}$$

The resulting field strength $F_{12} = dA_\theta/rdr$ is then spherically symmetric.

The A_θ must vanish at the origin so that A_μ is continuous. To avoid any subtle singularity which may appear when we calculate derivatives of A_μ with respect to coordinates at $r = 0$, we further restrict A_θ to such a configuration that its derivatives of any order with respect to r vanish at $r = 0$. An simple example of such a configuration is $A_\theta \sim e^{-1/r}$.

For the background to have nonzero winding number, A_θ approaches to a constant,

$$eA_\theta \sim \nu, \tag{37}$$

as r goes to infinity. Note the constant ν is the winding number in (2).

In this background, the derivative operators (10)–(11) are

$$D = ie^{-i\theta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - \frac{eA_\theta}{r}\right), \tag{38}$$

$$D^\dagger = ie^{i\theta}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{i}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \frac{eA_\theta}{r}\right). \tag{39}$$

The Schrödinger equations $H_\pm\phi_\pm = E\phi_\pm$ with $E = k^2$ read

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(n^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) + V_\pm(r)\right]\psi^n_\pm(r) = k^2\psi^n_\pm(r), \tag{40}$$

where $\psi^n_+(r)$ and $\psi^n_-(r)$ denote partial waves with definite integer angular momentum n

$$\phi_\pm(x) = e^{in\theta}\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\psi^n_\pm(r), \tag{41}$$

and the potentials are

$$V_\pm(r) = \frac{1}{r^2}\left(e^2A_\theta^2 - 2neA_\theta\right) - (\pm)\frac{1}{r}\frac{d(eA_\theta)}{dr}. \tag{42}$$

Since the procedure hereafter applies equally to both ψ^n_+ and ψ^n_-, we suppress the subscript $\pm$.

In background free case, $V(r) = 0$, the typical two solutions of (40) are

$$u^n(k,r) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}}J_n(kr) & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ (-)^{|n|}\sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}}J_{|n|}(kr) & \text{for } n < 0 \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

and

$$w^n(k,r) = \begin{cases} i\sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}}H^{(1)}_n(kr) & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ (-)^{|n|}i\sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}}H^{(1)}_{|n|}(kr) & \text{for } n < 0 \end{cases}, \tag{44}$$

where J_n and $H^{(1)}_n$ denote the Bessel function and the first kind Hankel function of order n, respectively. The phase convention for $n < 0$ in (43)–(44) helps expressions below to be simpler. (See, e.g., (64)–(65).) The free solutions asymptotically approximate to

$$u^n(k,r) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\cos\left(kr - \frac{(n+1/2)\pi}{2}\right), \tag{45}$$

$$w^n(k,r) \sim \frac{i}{\sqrt{k}}\exp\left[i\left(kr - \frac{(n+1/2)\pi}{2}\right)\right], \tag{46}$$

and their Wronskian is

$$W\left\{w^n(k,r),u^n(k,r)\right\} \equiv w^n(k,r)\frac{du^n(k,r)}{dr} - \frac{dw^n(k,r)}{dr}u^n(k,r) = 1. \quad (47)$$

Based on these free solutions, we define regular solution $\varphi^n(k,r)$ and Jost solution $f^n(k,r)$ by integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^n(k,r) &= u^n(k,r) - \int_0^r dr' \left[w^n(k,r)u^n(k,r') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - w^n(k,r')u^n(k,r)\right] V(r')\varphi^n(k,r') \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^n(k,r) &= w^n(k,r) + \int_r^\infty dr' \left[w^n(k,r)u^n(k,r') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - w^n(k,r')u^n(k,r)\right] V(r')f^n(k,r'). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

These equations are solved by iteration and defines a series for both φ^n and f^n.

The advantage of defining the solutions by the integral equations, or the series expansions generated by the equations, is that we can regard the solutions as analytic function of complex values of k for fixed real positive r. By the same estimation about convergence of the series as in Ref. [16], we can see the following analytic nature of the solutions. For $\varphi^n(k,r)$, the series absolutely converges if the potential meets a condition

$$\int_0^r dr' \frac{r'|V(r')|}{1+|k|r'} < \infty. \quad (50)$$

Then, since each term in the series is an entire function of k, $\varphi^n(k,r)$ is also an entire function of k. [Strictly speaking the condition (50) is for $n \neq 0$. Note that $H_n^{(1)}(kr)$ includes a term proportional to $J_n(kr)\ln kr$. For $n=0$, this term requires that the potential obeys a slightly stronger condition $\int_0^r dr' r' \, |V(r')| \ln r' < \infty$ at $r \sim 0$. Note also that the part proportional to

$\ln k$ cancel in (48) and does not affect the entireness of the series with respect to k.] Similarly, the series for $f^n(k,r)$ absolutely converges if $\operatorname{Im} k \geq 0$ and

$$\int_r^\infty dr' \frac{r'|V(r')|}{1+|k|r'} < \infty. \tag{51}$$

$f^n(k,r)$ is, then, a holomorphic function in upper half k-plane.

Note the potential (42) with (37) has $1/r^2$-tail at infinity for nonzero winding number ν. Thus the point $k=0$ is the singular point for the Jost solutions.

The regular solution and Jost solution satisfy some symmetry properties. They are derived by noticing that $[\varphi^n(k,r)]^*$ and $\varphi^n(-k^*,r)$ satisfy the same wave equation and are both regular at $r \sim 0$. Thus they should be proportional to each other. The same reason applies to $\varphi^n(k,r)$ and $\varphi^n(-k,r)$. By using the behavior at $r \sim 0$,

$$\varphi^n(k,r) \sim u^n(k,r) \sim \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{|n|!} k^{|n|} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{(|n|+1/2)}, \tag{52}$$

we obtain

$$[\varphi^n(k,r)]^* = (-)^{|n|}\varphi^n(-k^*,r) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^n(k,r) = (-)^{|n|}\varphi^n(-k,r). \tag{53}$$

Similarly, noticing $f^n(k,r) \sim w^n(k,r)$ at $r \sim \infty$ and (46), we get

$$[f^n(k,r)]^* = (-)^{|n|} f^n(-k^*,r). \tag{54}$$

We define the Jost function in terms of Wronskian by

$$F^n(k) = W\left\{f^n(k,r), \varphi^n(k,r)\right\}. \tag{55}$$

$F^n(k)$ is a holomorphic function in upper k-plane and obeys a condition

$$F^n(k) \to 1 \quad \text{as } |k| \to \infty \tag{56}$$

for the potentials that meets condition (50) with $r = \infty$ [16]. Further by (53) and (54), we see

$$[F^n(k)]^* = F^n(-k^*). \tag{57}$$

$\varphi^n(k,r)$ can be expressed in a linear combination of $f^n(k,r)$ and a solution that is linearly independent from $f^n(k,r)$, say $g^n(k,r)$. The Jost function represents an amplitude of $\varphi^n(k,r)$ with respect to $g^n(k,r)$ in the linear combination. Especially, for real positive k, $f^n(k,r)$ and $f^n(-k,r)$ are the linearly independent two solutions. The linear combination for $\varphi^n(k,r)$ in this case is given by

$$\varphi^n(k,r) = \frac{i}{2}\left[(-)^{|n|}F^n(k)f^n(-k,r) - F^n(-k)f^n(k,r)\right] \quad \text{(real positive } k\text{)}. \tag{58}$$

Now we can write the resolvent $R(E;x,y)$ using $\varphi^n(k,r)$, $f^n(k,r)$, and $F^n(k)$ in the following way. First define a function $R^n(k;r,r')$ which is holomorphic in the upper half k-plane by

$$\begin{aligned} R^n(k;r,r') \equiv\ & \frac{1}{F^n(k)}\left[\theta(r-r')f^n(k,r)\varphi^n(k,r')\right. \\ & \left.+\theta(r'-r)\varphi^n(k,r)f^n(k,r')\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

$R^n(k;r,r')$ satisfies

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(n^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) + V(r) - k^2\right]R^n(k;r,r') = \delta(r-r'). \tag{60}$$

Then $R(E;x,y)$ for $E = k^2$ is given by

$$R(E;x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{r_x r_y}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in(\theta_x - \theta_y)}R^n(k;r_x,r_y), \tag{61}$$

where r_x (r_y) is the polar coordinates for x (y). The resolvent defined this way obeys (23) and is holomorphic in complex E-plane with a cut along the positive real axis.

One may wonder an ambiguity to add an linear combination of terms made of the product of the solutions, like $\varphi^n(k,r)f^n(k,r')$, to $R^n(k;r,r')$. It does not change the equation (60). Two requirements fix this ambiguity: $R(E;x,y)$ must be regular at both $r_x \sim 0$ and $r_y \sim 0$ since the background A_μ is regular there; $R(E;x,y)$ must be a decreasing function of $|x-y|$ as $|x-y| \to \infty$ so is $K(\tau;x,y)$.

Remembering the definition for the state density (26) and using the relation (58) for real positive k, we get

$$\rho(E;x) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\varphi^n(\sqrt{E},r)\right]^2}{F^n(\sqrt{E})F^n(-\sqrt{E})}. \tag{62}$$

We further calculate the once-subtracted spectral density $\delta\rho(E)$. In appendix B, we show $\delta\rho(E)$ is related to the Jost function by[2]

$$\delta\rho(E) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k}\frac{d}{dk}\left[\ln F^n(k) - \ln F^n(-k)\right]. \tag{63}$$

5 Symmetry for Jost functions

So far we have defined regular solutions $\varphi^n_\pm$ and Jost solutions $f^n_\pm$ and expressed the spectral density by Jost functions. In this section, we investigate about the one-to-one correspondence for these solutions and show the contribution to $d\ln Z/d\alpha$ from the nonzero states vanish.

We first prove a set of relations which hold for the solutions;

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{n+1}_-(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k}\left(-\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{n+1/2}{r} - \frac{eA_\theta}{r}\right)\psi^n_+(k,r), & (64)\\ \psi^n_+(k,r) &= \frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{n+1/2}{r} - \frac{eA_\theta}{r}\right)\psi^{n+1}_-(k,r), & (65) \end{aligned}$$

---

[2] The relation can be given by using the equivalence of Jost function and Fredholm determinant for the case of $H_\pm$ being Fredholm. In the problem we are considering, $H_\pm$ are *not* Fredholm operators.

where $\psi_\pm^n$ denotes both $f_\pm^n$ and $\varphi_\pm^n$ collectively. These relations are the partial wave versions of the relations (14)–(15); note the differential operators D and $D^\dagger$, (38)–(39), connect only the partial waves whose angular momentum differs by one. Also, these relations holds for any complex values of k at which the solutions are finite and well-defined. In this sense, they are extension of (14)–(15) to complex values of energy.

We specifically prove (64) for $\varphi_+^n(k,r)$ and $\varphi_-^{n+1}(k,r)$; the others can be shown in quite similar way. Let us use notations

$$\mathcal{D}^\dagger = -\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{n+1/2}{r} - \frac{eA_\theta}{r} = \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \frac{eA_\theta}{r} \tag{66}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{n+1/2}{r} - \frac{eA_\theta}{r} = \mathcal{D}_0 - \frac{eA_\theta}{r}. \tag{67}$$

Note a set of formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k}\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger u^n(k,r) &= u^{n+1}(k,r), \qquad \frac{1}{k}\mathcal{D}_0 u^{n+1}(k,r) = u^n(k,r), \\ \frac{1}{k}\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger w^n(k,r) &= w^{n+1}(k,r), \qquad \frac{1}{k}\mathcal{D}_0 w^{n+1}(k,r) = w^n(k,r), \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

which apply to the free solutions defined by (43)–(44). The equations (48) for $\varphi_+^n(k,r)$ and $\varphi_-^{n+1}(k,r)$ in abbreviated but manifest notations are

$$\varphi_+ = u^n + \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger} V_+ \varphi_+, \tag{69}$$

$$\varphi_- = u^{n+1} + \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger\mathcal{D}_0} V_- \varphi_-. \tag{70}$$

The potentials V_+ with the angular momentum n and V_- with $n+1$ are also written as

$$V_+ = -\mathcal{D}_0\bar{A} - \bar{A}\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger + \bar{A}^2 \tag{71}$$

$$V_- = -\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger\bar{A} - \bar{A}\mathcal{D}_0 + \bar{A}^2, \tag{72}$$

where $\bar{A} \equiv eA_\theta/r$. Using explicit integral expression for $1/(k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger)$ in terms of u^n and w^n and applying the formulas (68), we verify

$$\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger} = \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger\mathcal{D}_0}\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \tag{73}$$

as long as it operates on a function, say $h(r)$, that is regular enough at $r=0$ so that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} w^{n+1}(k,r)h(r) = 0. \tag{74}$$

This condition is satisfied for the potentials derived by (42) with A_θ that satisfies the regularity condition at $r=0$ which we have mentioned in section 4. Similarly, we get

$$\mathcal{D}_0 \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0} = \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger} \mathcal{D}_0. \tag{75}$$

Now applying $\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger$ to (69) and using (73), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \varphi_+ &= \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger u^n + \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0}\Big[V_- \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger + \left(k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0\right)\bar{A} \\ &\quad - \bar{A}\left(k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger\right) - \bar{A}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger + \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \bar{A}^2\Big]\varphi_+,\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

where we have used

$$\mathcal{D}_0^\dagger V_+ = V_- \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0 \bar{A} + \bar{A}\mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \bar{A}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger + \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \bar{A}^2. \tag{77}$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}^\dagger \varphi_+$ obeys

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^\dagger \varphi_+ &= k u^{n+1} + \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0}\left[V_- \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \bar{A}\left(k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger\right)\right]\varphi_+ \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0}\left[\bar{A}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \bar{A}^2\right]\varphi_+.\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Iterate φ_+ in the second term by (69) and get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^\dagger \varphi_+ &= k u^{n+1} + \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0} V_- k u^{n+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0} V_- \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0}\left[V_- \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \bar{A}\left(k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger\right)\right]\varphi_+ \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0} V_- \frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0}\left[\bar{A}^2 \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \bar{A}^2\right]\varphi_+.\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Continue the similar iterations and get

$$\mathcal{D}^\dagger \varphi_+ = ku^{n+1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k^2 - \mathcal{D}_0^\dagger \mathcal{D}_0} V_- \right)^m ku^{n+1}. \tag{80}$$

This series coincides what is generated by (70) up to a factor k; thus we get (64).

The proof for $f_+^n(k,r)$ and $f_-^{n+1}(k,r)$ is quite similar. The equations (73) and (75) holds as long as they operate on a function $h(r)$ that obeys

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} u^{n+1}(k,r)h(r) = 0, \tag{81}$$

which is also satisfied in the problem we are considering.

The relation (64)–(65) lead very critical result. The contribution of the nonzero energy states to $d \ln Z/d\alpha$ is given by the difference between the spectral densities of H_+ and H_-. According to (63), it reads

$$\rho_+(E) - \rho_-(E) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{d}{dk} \left[\ln \frac{F_+^n(k)}{F_-^{n+1}(k)} - \ln \frac{F_+^n(-k)}{F_-^{n+1}(-k)} \right] \tag{82}$$

with $k = \sqrt{E}$. On the other hand, because of (64)–(65), we see

$$\begin{aligned} & W\left\{ f_-^{n+1}(k,r), \varphi_-^{n+1}(k,r) \right\} \\ = \; & \frac{1}{k^2} \left[\mathcal{D}^\dagger f_+^n(k,r) \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^\dagger \varphi_+^n(k,r) - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^\dagger f_+^n(k,r) \mathcal{D}^\dagger \varphi_+^n(k,r) \right] \\ = \; & W\left\{ f_+^n(k,r), \varphi_+^n(k,r) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

i.e.

$$F_-^{n+1}(k) = F_+^n(k). \tag{84}$$

Thus the contribution of nonzero energy states to $d \ln Z/d\alpha$ vanish.

6 Contribution around $E = 0$

We have seen that $d \ln Z/d\alpha$ gets contribution only from the zero energy states, i.e., the integral over C′, (see Eq. (33)). To know how the resolvents behaves at $E \sim 0$ is essential to evaluate the integral. Due to the $1/r^2$-tail in the potential, the analysis is quite difficult. Instead, we attempt to estimate $d \ln Z/d\alpha$ by an expansion in $1/|m|^2$: the E-integral is now carried out over finite region; thus we expect the expansion of $|m|^2/(E+|m|^2)$ in the integrand in $1/|m|^2$ results in a decent expansion, which is at least asymptotic to $d \ln Z/d\alpha$ as $|m|$ goes to infinity. Let us define

$$S_k \equiv \int d^2x \lim_{y \to x} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C'} dE(-E)^k \left[R_+(E;x,y) - R_-(E;x,y)\right] \tag{85}$$

and assume they are finite. The expansion is then given by

$$\frac{d \ln Z}{d\alpha} = i \left(S_0 + \frac{1}{|m|^2} S_1 + \frac{1}{|m|^4} S_2 + ... \right). \tag{86}$$

In order to get the coefficients S_k, we look into

$$\Delta(\tau) \equiv \int d^2x \mathcal{A}(\tau, x). \tag{87}$$

Recalling Eq. (31), we notice that $\Delta(\tau)$ also get the contribution only from integral over C$'$ and thus has the expansion in τ with the coefficients S_k. The advantage of considering $\Delta(\tau)$ is that the asymptotic expansion of $\mathcal{A}(\tau, x)$,

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau, x) \sim \mathcal{A}_0(x) + \tau \mathcal{A}_1(x) + \tau^2 \mathcal{A}_2(x) + ..., \tag{88}$$

can be evaluated by a straight forward way[3]; once we get $\mathcal{A}_k(x)$, S_k are given by integrating them,

$$S_k = k! \int d^2x \mathcal{A}_k(x). \tag{89}$$

We evaluate the coefficients $\mathcal{A}_k(x)$ using the explicit expression for $\mathcal{A}(\tau, x)$ [6, 7],

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau, x) = \lim_{y \to x} \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \left(e^{-\tau H_+} - e^{-\tau H_-} \right) e^{ip \cdot (x-y)}. \tag{90}$$

---

[3] The interplay between the index of Fredholm operator and the asymptotic expansion of its heat kernel is described in [17]

We regard the operation of $e^{-\tau H_\pm}$ is calculated by the corresponding power series. To take the limit $y \to x$, we move the factor $e^{ip\cdot x}$ on the right side of the operators to left. Every time one commutes the partial derivative ∂_μ with $e^{ip\cdot x}$, we changes it with $\partial_\mu + ip_\mu$. Scaling the momentum by $\sqrt{\tau}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}(\tau, x) = \\
&\quad \frac{1}{\tau}\int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-p^2} \sum_{n=0} \frac{1}{n!}\left\{\tau\left[\frac{2ip\cdot(\partial - ieA)}{\sqrt{\tau}} + (\partial - ieA)^2 + eF_{12}\right]\right\}^n \\
&\quad -(F_{12} \to -F_{12}). \qquad (91)
\end{aligned}$$

The expansion (88) is given by picking up terms with the same powers in τ.

We have evaluated the series explicitly up to $\mathcal{A}_2$ and got

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_0(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} eF_{12}, \\
\mathcal{A}_1(x) &= \frac{1}{12\pi} \partial_\mu^2 eF_{12}, \\
\mathcal{A}_2(x) &= \frac{1}{120\pi} \partial_\mu^2 \partial_\nu^2 eF_{12}. \qquad (92)
\end{aligned}$$

Note all these terms must be gauge invariant as well as having parity odd. It is further interesting to note that the results are total divergence: even under the requirement of the gauge invariance and the parity, the term proportional to $(F_{12})^3$ was possible for $\mathcal{A}_3$; these terms cancel out in the explicit calculation.

The coefficients S_k are now calculated by (89). The leading term is

$$S_0 = \nu, \qquad (93)$$

i.e., the winding number of background field. This is exactly the same way as in four dimensional QCD. Since F_{12} approaches zero faster than $1/r^3$, the second and third correction terms are zero.

7 Summary and Discussion

In summarizing, we have evaluated the chiral phase dependence of free energy $\ln Z$ in two dimensional spherically symmetric background. We divided up the contribution into two; one from the nonzero energy states and one from zero energy states regularizing the later by the contour integral over C′. The contribution from the nonzero energy states is shown to be zero. In other words, the one-to-one correspondence is exact. As for the zero energy states contribution, we proposed the expansion in $1/|m|^2$. The coefficients are given explicitly by the behavior of the resolvents at $E \sim 0$, see Eq. (85). We have evaluated the coefficients up to the second power of $1/|m|^2$. We found the α-dependence of the free energy is given by the winding number of the background U(1) gauge field and corrections to this relation is zero up to $1/|m|^4$.

The expansion for $\mathcal{A}(\tau, x)$ also implies that the expectation value of the axial U(1) current can be given by the expansion of $1/|m|^2$. Comparing our result with the anomaly equation for the axial U(1) current

$$m\bar{\psi}(1+\sigma_c)\psi - m^*\bar{\psi}(1-\sigma_c)\psi = \frac{ie}{\pi}F_{12} - \partial_\mu\left(\bar{\psi}\sigma_\mu\sigma_c\psi\right), \tag{94}$$

we get

$$\partial_\mu\left\langle\bar{\psi}\sigma_\mu\sigma_c\psi\right\rangle \sim (-i)\left(\frac{\partial_\mu^2 eF_{12}}{6\pi|m|^2} + \frac{\partial_\mu^2\partial_\nu^2 eF_{12}}{30\pi|m|^4} + \ldots\right). \tag{95}$$

Finally, it is worth mentioning the interplay between nonzero winding number and $1/r^2$-tail in the potential. The existence of the $1/r^2$-tail means the violation of the Levinson theorem in the scattering problem [16]. The violation indicates that the total number of states in the spectra of $H_\pm$ are not the same. This difference causes the nontrivial α-dependence of the Jacobian in the path integral measure when we rotate α away from the mass term in the lagrangian.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AT03-87ER40327.

A Appendix

We verify in this appendix that the definition (26) for the state density $\rho(E;x)$ gives correct results for hamiltonians which describe a particle moving freely in d-dimensional space. The hamiltonian is

$$H=-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\right)^2 \tag{96}$$

with an unit $2m=1$ for the particle mass m; μ runs from 1 to d. According to the standard box quantization, the number of quantum states per unit momenta and coordinates, is

$$\frac{dN}{\prod_\mu dp_\mu dx_\mu}=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \tag{97}$$

in an unit $\hbar=1$. The state density $\rho(E;x)$, the number of states per unit energy and unit coordinates is thus

$$\rho(E;x)=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int d^dp\,\delta(E-p^2)=\frac{s(d)}{2(2\pi)^d}\left(\sqrt{E}\right)^{d-2}, \tag{98}$$

where $s(d)$ is volume of unit sphere S^{d-1}, $s(1)=2, s(2)=2\pi, s(3)=4\pi, ...$ ($s(1)$ is the number of points that satisfy $p^2=E$.)

For $d=1$, the resolvent $R(E;x,y)$ is

$$R(E;x,y)=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-E}}e^{-\sqrt{-E}\,|x-y|}, \tag{99}$$

where we choose a cut of $\sqrt{-E}$ along positive real E-axis. Then,

$$\rho(E;x)=\lim_{\epsilon\to+0}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-E-i\epsilon}}-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-E+i\epsilon}}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{E}}. \tag{100}$$

Similarly, for $d = 2$,

$$R(E; x, y) \sim -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\sqrt{-E}\,|x-y|\right) \quad \text{for } |x-y| \ll 1/\sqrt{|E|} \tag{101}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(E; x) &= \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[-\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\sqrt{-E - i\epsilon}\right) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln\left(\sqrt{-E + i\epsilon}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi}. \end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

For $d = 3$,

$$R(E; x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|} e^{-\sqrt{-E}\,|x-y|}, \tag{103}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(E; x) &= \lim_{y \to x} \lim_{\epsilon \to +0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|} \left[e^{-\sqrt{-E-i\epsilon}\,|x-y|} - e^{-\sqrt{-E+i\epsilon}\,|x-y|} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{E}}{4\pi^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

All these results are consistent with (98). Note that for $d \geq 2$, $R(E; x, y)$ diverges as $y \to x$. Thus in order to avoid the ill-definedness, we have to calculate discontinuities at the cut first before taking the limit $y \to x$.

B Appendix

In this appendix we show (63). To see this, we calculate

$$I(k) \equiv \left.\frac{d \ln F^n}{dk}\right|_k + \left.\frac{d \ln F^n}{dk}\right|_{-k^*} = \frac{\dot{F}^n(k)}{F^n(k)} + \frac{\dot{F}^n(-k^*)}{F^n(-k^*)} \tag{105}$$

and take a limit that k approaches to real positive values, $k \sim |k| + i\epsilon$, where 'dot' denotes derivative with respect to k. Using the definition of the Jost function (55), we obtain

$$I(k) = I_1(k, r) + I_2(k, r), \tag{106}$$

where

$$I_1(k,r) \equiv \frac{1}{F^n(k)} W\left\{f^n(k,r), \dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)\right\} + \frac{1}{F^n(-k^*)} W\left\{f^n(-k^*,r), \dot{\varphi}^n(-k^*,r)\right\} \tag{107}$$

and

$$I_2(k,r) \equiv \frac{1}{F^n(k)} W\left\{\dot{f}^n(k,r), \varphi^n(k,r)\right\} + \frac{1}{F^n(-k^*)} W\left\{\dot{f}^n(-k^*,r), \varphi^n(-k^*,r)\right\}. \tag{108}$$

Since

$$\dot{\varphi}^n(-k^*,r) = (-)^{|n|+1}\left[\dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)\right]^* \tag{109}$$

and $\dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)$ becomes real as k approaches to the real value,

$$I_1(k,r) \to \frac{2i}{F^n(k)F^n(-k)} W\left\{\varphi^n(k,r), \dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)\right\} \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0, \tag{110}$$

where we have used (53) and (58). Noting that $\dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)$ obeys an equation

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(n^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) + V(r)\right]\dot{\varphi}^n(k,r) = k^2\dot{\varphi}^n(k,r) + 2k\varphi^n(k,r), \tag{111}$$

which is given by differentiating (40), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dr} W\left\{\varphi^n(k,r), \dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)\right\} = -2k\left[\varphi^n(k,r)\right]^2. \tag{112}$$

Integrating this equation with the boundary condition

$$\lim_{r\to 0} W\left\{\varphi^n(k,r), \dot{\varphi}^n(k,r)\right\} = 0 \tag{113}$$

(see equation (52)), we get

$$I_1(k,r) \to \frac{-4ik}{F^n(k)F^n(-k)} \int_0^r dr' \left[\varphi^n(k,r')\right]^2 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0. \tag{114}$$

On the other hand, $I_2(k,r)$ becomes independent from specific potential of the scattering problem in the limit of $r \to \infty$, which can be seen in the following way. $f^n(k,r)$ approaches to the free solution and thus

$$\dot{f}^n(k,r) \to \dot{w}^n(k,r) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty. \tag{115}$$

$\varphi^n(k,r)$ consists of exponentially decreasing component $e^{-\epsilon r}$ and increasing component $e^{\epsilon r}$ at the complex value of k. Since $\dot{f}^n(k,r)$ decreases exponentially, only the increasing component can remain in (108) as $r \to \infty$. The Jost function is the coefficient of the increasing component in the expansion of $\varphi^n(k,r)$. Because of (47), we can specifically write it as

$$\varphi^n(k,r) \to F^n(k) u^n(k,r) \quad \text{as } r \to \infty, \tag{116}$$

where we are only interested in the increasing component in $u^n(k,r)$. Plugging (115) and (116) into (108), we see that the potential dependence, the Jost function $F^n(k)$, cancels in numerator and denominator.

$I(k)$ for real k is now written by taking $r \to \infty$ in $I_1(k,r)$ and $I_2(k,r)$ as

$$I(k) = \lim_{r\to\infty} \left(\frac{-4ik}{F^n(k)F^n(-k)} \int_0^r dr' \left[\varphi^n(k,r')\right]^2 + I_2(k,r) \right), \tag{117}$$

and the contribution of the second term is potential-independent. Note the first term is related to the integral of $\rho(E;x)$ over x. Further note $F^n(k) = 0$ and $I(k) = 0$ for the free potential; thus $I_2(k,r)$ should satisfy

$$I_2(k,r) \to -4ik \int_0^r dr' \left[u^n(k,r')\right]^2 \quad \text{as } r \to \infty, \tag{118}$$

which is related to spectral density for free potential. Thus $\delta\rho(E)$, the deviation of spectral density from one for free potential, is given by summing $I(k)$ over all angular momentum n as

$$\delta\rho(E) = \frac{-1}{4\pi i k} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} I(k), \tag{119}$$

which is (63).

References

[1] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 172; C. Callan, R. Dashen and D. Gross, Phys. Lett. 63B (1976) 334.

[2] R. Peccei and H. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1440, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 1791.

[3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 223; F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 279.

[4] V. Baluni, Phys. Rev. D19 (1979) 2227; R. Crewther, P. Di Vecchia, G. Veneziano, and E. Witten, Phys. Lett. 88B (1979) 123; M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, and V. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B166 (1980) 493.

[5] H. Kikuchi, Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 4704.

[6] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1979) 1195; Phys. Rev. D21 (1980) 2848; Phys. Rev. D22 (1989) 1499.

[7] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. D29 (1984) 285.

[8] J. Kiskis, Phys. Rev. D15 (1977) 2329; R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 1052; M. Ansourian, Phys. Lett. 70B (1977) 301.

[9] C. Callias, Commun. Math. Phys. 62 (1978) 213; R. Bott and R. Seeley, Commun. Math. Phys. 62 (1978) 235.

[10] E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D20 (1979) 936; M. Hirayama, Prog. Theor. Phys. 70 (1983) 1444; C. Imbimbo and S. Mukhi, Nucl. Phys. B242 (1984) 81.

[11] L. Girardello, C. Imbimbo and S. Mukhi, Phys. Letts. B132 (1983) 69; S. Cecotti and L. Girardello, Nucl. Phys. B239 (1984) 573; A. Niemi

and L. Wijewardhana, Phys. Lett. 138B (1984) 389; R. Akhoury and A. Comtet, Nucl. Phys. B246 (1984) 253.

[12] A. Niemi and G. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. D30 (1984) 809; A. Niemi, Nucl. Phys. B253 (1985) 14.

[13] R. Akhoury and A. Comtet, Ann. Phys. 172 (1986) 245.

[14] For a review, see A. Niemi and G. Semenoff, Phys. Rep. 135 (1986) 99.

[15] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 128 (1962) 2425; For a review, see for example, W. Marciano and H. Pagels, Phys. Rep. 36 (1978) 137.

[16] K. Chadan and P.C. Sabatier, *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*, second edition, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).

[17] P. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, The Heat Equation, And the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem* (Publish or Perish, 1984).

Figure captions

Fig. 1. The integral contour C$'$ on the complex E-plane with a cut along the real positive axis.